

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/620,369		07/17/2003	Ludovic Fleury	Q76520	9715	
23373	7590	04/06/2006		EXAMINER		
	JE MION,		WONG, TINA MEI SENG			
SUITE 80		IIA AVENUE, N.W.	••	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				2874	2874	
				DATE MAIL ED: 04/06/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 04/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/620,369	FLEURY ET AL.	ω
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tina M. Wong	2874	

	Tina M. Wong	2874	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 28 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the engrapsis	to outonoion foo
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.176(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 March 2006</u>. A brief the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	ecalise
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ccausc
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or 	w);		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	•		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.			
		1	
	عـ	OLINIO DAIV	

SUNG PAK PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner's Final rejection is deemed to point to all the necessary elements of 35 USC 103(a).

Continuation of 13. Other: As a note to Applicant's remewed arguments with respect to "different kinds" of fibers, although Keys does not disclose different kinds of fibers as Applicant's specification would suggest, Keys discloses different lengths of the same optical fiber and therefore creating different amounts of chromatic dispersion depending on the length. Therefore, each length has a different chromatic dispersion and is therefore a different kind of fiber since each length has different characteristics. This conclusion reflects the claim language. The claim language does not suggest how the optical fibers differ.